Statement -I

Statewise wind power capacity addtion during 1999-2000 to 2002-2003

Sl. No.	State	Installed capacity (MW)
1.	Andhra Pradesh	30.8
2.	Gujarat	6.2
3.	Karnataka	104.6
4.	Madhya Pradesh	4.1
5.	Maharashtra	372.2
6.	Rajasthan	60.7
7.	Tamil Nadu	265.1
8.	West Bengal	1.1
	TOTAL	844.,8

Statement-II

Proposed wind power capacity addition during tenth plan

Sl. No.	Year	Capacity (MW)
1.	2002-03	200
2.	2003-04	250
3.	2004-05	300
4.	2005-06	350
5.	2006-07	400
	TOTAL	1500

Construction of roads under PMGSY in Assam

*153. DR. ARUN KUMAR SARMA:
SHRI MOTILAL VORA:

Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the State-wise number of roads constructed, funds allocated, released and remained unspent under Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana (PMGSY) till March, 2003;

(b) the criteria fixed for selection of roads including those with recommendation of MLAs and MPs; and

(c) the list of roads constructed with names of recommending MPs in Assam during the last three years?

THE MINISTER OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI KASHIRAM RANA): (a) A statement indicating State-wise allocation of funds, amount released, expenditure incurred and number of roads constructed upto March, 2003 given in the enclosed Statement-I (*See* below).

(b) Under the revised Guidelines of the Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana (PMGSY) issued in January 2003, the list of road works to be taken up are finalised each year by the District Panchayat in accordance with the order of priority given in the Guidelines and as per allocation of funds communicated to the District by the State Government after ensuring that the proposed road works are part of the Core Network. New Connectivity to Unconnected Habitations is given primacy. The list is to be finalised through a consultative process involving lower level Panchayati Institutions and elected representatives. It is incumbent upon the District Panchayat to ensure that, while framing the proposals, full consideration is given to the proposals received from the Members of Parliament, within the framework of the Guidelines.

(c) Government of Assam have reported that the proposals for construction of 211 road works under PMGSY for 2000-01 were taken up as per the approvals given by the Governing Body of concerned DRDAs and out of this, 181 road works have been constrcuted upto March, 2003. In 2001-02, proposal were considered for both 2001-02 and 2002-03 together, and a total of 294 road works were proposed for construction. Out of this, 202 road works are as proposed by Members of Parliament, of which 68 road works have been constructed so far. Details are given in the enclosed statement-II.

Statement-I

Statement showing the State/UT-wise Annual Allocation, Funds Released, Expenditure incurred and No. of road works completed during the years 2000-01, 2001-02 and 2002-03 under the PMGSY

(Rs. in crore)

Sl. No.	States/UTs	Annual Allocation in 2000-01 to 2002-02	Amount Released in			Amount spent upto March 03 of releases in		No. of roads works completed upto March 03 of works cleared in	
			2000-01	2001-02	2002-03	2000-01	2001-02 & 2002-03	2000-01	2001-02 & 2002-03
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	Andhra Pradesh	190.00	195.00	224.65	219.29	244.62	240.71	1475	353
2	Arunachal Pradesh	35.00	40.95	45.00	41.51	40.95	40.18	204	0
3	Assam	75.00	75.00	80.00	74.92	70.86	104.79	181	73
4	Bihar	150.00	149.00	0.00	0.00	58.39	4.94	15	0
5	Chhattisgarh	87.00	92.41	98.62	159.60*	80.41	115.42	73	26
6	Goa	5.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	5.00	0.00	57	0
7	Gujarat	50.00	59.81	60.00	51.70	52.24	58.50	167	195
8	Haryana	20.00	25.18	30.00	44.75*	20.96	18.13	21	0
9	Himachal Pradesh	60.00	60.00	72.09	104.57	59.31	57.07	124	3
10	Jammu & Kashmir	20.00	20.00	0.00	35.00	10.73	4.67	0	0
11	Jharkhand	110.00	110.05	120.00	0.00	99.00	39.38	35	0
12	Karnataka	95.00	100.57	108.37	97.74	99.20	64.38	383	7
13	Kerala	20.00	19.71	27.65	11.43	15.30	20.65	27	13
14	Madhya Pradesh	213.00	217.64	248.00	450.39*	147.24	303.70	256	60
15	Maharashtra	130.00	130.21	134.50	114.58	126.25	62.77	800	2
16	Manipur	40.00	40.00	40.00	0.00	31.33	0.00	404	0
17	Meghalaya	35.00	34.95	45.72	35.00	34.95	21.78	208	0
18	Mizoram	20.00	19.93	26.53	50.88*	19.93	36.34	17	2
19	Nagaland	20.00	19.75	25.53	22.23	19.09	21.39	120	1
20	Orissa	175.00	179.70	175.00	170.09	143.06	178.99	376	88
21	Punjab	25.00	24.66	55.00	20.39	22.09	51.97	81	128
22	Rajasthan	130.00	140.09	150.00	241.74*	95.67	189.90	319	374
23	Sikkim	20.00	13.16	20.00	17.81	13.16	13.70	30	0
24	Tamil Nadu	80.00	99.25	88.57	80.32	137.02	53.88	862	166
25	Tripura	25.00	24.75	26.85	25.00	24.76	0.00	193	0
26	Uttar Pradesh	315.00	321.11	348.11	240.54	319.09	256.90	5128	311
27	Uttaranchal	60.00	60.63	70.00	0.00	43.51	15.00	34	0

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
28	West Bengal	135.00	135.00	149.65	159.52	99.61	62.86	129	0
	TOTAL (STATES)	2340.00	2414.41	2474.84	2469.00	2133.73	2037.97	11719	1802
	Union Territories								
27	A & N Islands	10.00	10.59	0.00	0.00	0.26	0.00	0	0
28	Dadra & Nagar Haveli	5.00	0.00	5.00	0.00	0.35	0.00	0	0
29	Daman & Diu	5.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0
30	Delhi	5.00	0.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0
31	Lakshadweep	5.00	0.00	4.89	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0
32	Pondicherry	5.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	2.69	0.00	50	0
	TOTAL (UTs)	35.00	20.59	14.89	0.00	3.30	0.00	50	0
	GRAND TOTAL	2375.00	2435.00	2489.73	2469.00	2137.03	2037.97	11769	1802

*includes 25% advance release for roadworks of 2003-04

Statement-II

Roads Constructed under PMGSY in 2001-02 and 2002-03

Sl. No.	Name of District	Total No. of road works taken up in the District	No. of Road works completed in the district	Road works taken up on recommendation of MPs: No. of road works taken up in the District	Road works taken up on recommendation of MPs: No. of Road works completed in the district	Name of recommending MPs with No. of road works
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Barpeta	11	3	7	2	A F Golam Osmani (7 Nos.)
				4	1	S K Bismutiary (4Nos.)
2	Bongaigaon	7	0	1	0	A F Golam Osmani (1 Nos.)
				2	0	S K Bismutiary (2Nos.)
3	Cachar	10	2	8	2	Santosh Mohan Dev (8 Nos)
				1	0	Nepal Das (1no)
				1	0	K. Bhattacharjee MP RS (1 nos)
4	Dhemaji	14	3	14	3	Rani Narah (14 Nos)
5	Dhubri	28	22	10	9	Abdul Hamid (10Nos)
6	Dibrugarh	26	8	23	8	Paban Singh Ghatowar (23 Nos)
				3	0	Rani Narah (3 Nos)
7	Goalpara	11	8	8	5	Bijoya Chakrabarty (8 Nos)
				3	0	Abdul Hamid (3 Nos)
8	Golaghat	6	0	6	0	Tarun Gogoi (6 Nos)
9	Hailakandi	6	0	6	0	Nepal Ch. Das (6 Nos)
10	Jorhat	12	4	5	4	Bijay Krishna Handique (5 Nos)
				2	0	Rani Narah (2 Nos)

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
11	Kamrup	12	3	1	1	Bijoya Chakrabarty (1 Nos)
				2	1	Madhab Rajbonshi (2 Nos)
12	Karbi Anglong	16	0	16	0	Jayanta Rongpee (16 Nos)
13	Karimganj	8	0	8	0	Nepal Ch. Das (8 Nos)
14	Kokrajhar	7	3	7	3	S K Bismutiary (7 Nos)
15	Lakhimpur	9	3	6	3	Rani Narah (6 Nos)
16	Darrang	8	6	8	6	Madhab Rajbonshi (8 Nos)
17	Morigaon	12	12	12	12	Rajen Gohain (12 Nos)
18	NC Hills	8	1	0	0	Jayanta Rongpee (0 Nos)
				3	1	P. Warisa MP Rs (3 nos)
19	Nagaon	29	23	3	0	Rajen Gohain (3 Nos)
				5	5	Tarun Gogoi (5 nos)
20	Nalbari	17	7	1	0	S K Bismutiary (1 Nos)
				3	1	Bijoya Chakrabarty (3 Nos)
				3	1	A F Golam Osmani (3 Nos)
	-			1	0	Madhab Rajbonshi (1 Nos)
21	Sibsagar	21	0	4	0	Bijoy Krishna Handique (4 Nos)
22	Sonitpur	7	0	6	0	Moni Kumar Subba (6 Nos)
23	Tinsukia	9	0	4	0	Rani Narah (4 Nos)
				5	0	Paban Singh Ghatowar (5 Nos)

Houses under IAY in Andhra Pradesh and Tamil Nadu

*154. DR. DASARI NARAYANA RAO: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the amount spent district-wise, year-wise and number of people benefited under the Indira Awas Yojana (IAY) in the States of Andhra Pradesh and Tamil Nadu during the last five years;

(b) whether sanitary latrines, fuel efficient smokeless *chulhas* etc. have been provided in the houses constructed under IAY in the States; and

(c) if not, the reasons therefor and alternative arrangements made or proposed to be made?

THE MINISTER OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI KASHIRAM RANA): (a) Year-wise and district-wise details of amount spent and number of houses constructed under the Indira Awaas Yojana (IAY) in the States of Andhra Pradesh and Tamil Nadu during the last five years are given in the enclosed statements I and II (*See* below)

(b) and (c) Under the Scheme, sanitary latrine and fuel efficient smokeless chulha are required to be provided. The houses are constructed by the beneficiaries themselves. In some cases this provision is not being followed due to lack of awareness, attitudinal factors, shortage of water etc. In such cases, beneficiaries themselves have their own arrangements. Instructions in this regard are being reiterated from time to time.